CITY OF WARDEN

1.1 Local Hazard Mitigation Planning Team

Primary Point of Contact

Kristine Shuler, City Administrator 121 S Main St. Warden, WA 98857 Telephone: (509) 349-2326 e-mail Address: kshuler@cityofwarden.org

Alternate Point of Contact

Chad Cole, PW Supervisor 121 S Main St. Warden, WA 98857 Telephone: (509) 349-2326 e-mail Address: ccole@cityofwarden.org

This annex was developed by the local hazard mitigation planning team, whose members are listed in Table 0-1.

Name	Title	
Kristine Shuler	City Administrator	
Chad Cole	PW Supervisor	
Tony Massa	Mayor	

Table 0-1. Local Hazard Mitigation Planning Team Members

1.2 Jurisdiction Profile

1.2.1 Location and Features

The City of Warden is in the southeast area of Grant County in Central Washington. Warden is a growing agricultural based community by industry and the surrounding farm land.

The current boundaries generally extend from East Low Canal on the western point to the east, approximately 2 miles. The northern boundary, at Road U and 7.5 SE. extends south for 1.3 miles, encompassing an area of 2.48 square miles

Most of the air masses and weather systems crossing eastern Washington are traveling under the influence of the prevailing westerly winds. In the summer season, air from over the continent results in low relative humidity and high temperatures. In the winter, cold weather prevails. Extremes in temperature in both summer and winter occur when the inland basin is under the influence of air from over the continent. During most of the year, prevailing wind is from the west or southwest. Northeasterly winds are more frequent in fall and winter. Extreme wind velocities can be expected to reach 50 mph at least once in two years; 60 to 70 mph once in 50 years and 80 mph once in 100 years. (Grant County Hazard Mitigation Plan, 2006)

1.2.2 History

The City of Warden was incorporated in 1910. Russian-German descendants founded homesteads in the Warden area prior to the turn of the century. New enterprises, such as livery stables, horse wranglers, blacksmiths and other services, followed the settlers and Warden incorporated in 1910. The railroad arrived in the early days bringing construction crews and railroad hands. Railroad operations accounted for a 1920 peak in population of 173. Soon after the WWII, the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation's Columbia Basin Irrigation Project, delivered water to the arid land. Agricultural expansion propelled supporting industries and businesses, offering a diverse array of jobs. Growth has been steady but slow since that time. With 1990 reaching a population of 1,639 to a high of 2,485 in 2021.

1.2.3 Governing Body Format

The city is governed by a mayor and five elected officials that comprise the city council.

The Warden City Council assumes responsibility for the adoption of this plan; City of Warden Administration will oversee its implementation.

1.3 Current Trends

1.3.1 Population

According to State of Washington, Office of Financial Management, the population of Warden as of April 2021 was 2,485. Since 1990 the population has grown at an average annual rate of 1.6 percent.

1.3.2 Development

The Port District #8 of Warden is an instrumental factor in the Economic Development of Industry in the City of Warden. The Port continues to work with interested developers. Currently, no future development is scheduled at this time. The City of Warden participates in the National Flood Insurance Program. The city continues to verify compliance every year. The building department operates under the International Building Code requirements that require new and substantially improved code. The City has sold the industrial waste water utility to a private contractor. The installation of a six million gallon anaerobic digester facility and the settling pond restoration that are within the identified flood plain have been completed. Department of Ecology and the Department of Health are the permitting entities.

Identifying previous and future development trends is achieved through a comprehensive review of permitting since completion of the previous plan and in anticipation of future development. Tracking previous and future growth in potential hazard areas provides an overview of increased exposure to a hazard within a community. Table 0-2 summarizes development trends in the performance period since the preparation of the previous hazard mitigation plan, as well as expected future development trends.

Criterion		Response
Has your jurisdiction annexed any land since the plan?	he preparation of the previous hazard mitigation	Yes
If yes, give the estimated area annexed and	22 acres of Light Manufacturing property near the	Warden
estimated number of parcels or structures.	airport has been annexed. No structures.	

Table 0-2. Recent and Expected Future Development Trends

Criterion					Res	ponse
Is your jurisdiction expected to annex any areas	s during the performa	nce period of	this pla	an?		known Iis time
If yes, describe land areas and dominant uses.						
If yes, who currently has permitting authority over these areas?						
Are any areas targeted for development or maj	jor redevelopment in t	he next five	years?			Yes
If yes, briefly describe, including whether any of the areas are in known hazard risk areas	 A housing development of 40 structures is proposed over th next 2 years. The Port of Warden has potential industrial developers looking at Warden. No known risk hazard areas. 					
How many permits for new construction were		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
issued in your jurisdiction since the	Single Family	8	7	4	6	5
preparation of the previous hazard mitigation	Multi-Family		4		7	2
plan?	Other		_			
	Total	8	11	4	13	7
Provide the number of new-construction permits for each hazard area or provide a qualitative description of where development has occurred.	 All permits were in no risk hazard. 	n the localize	d reside	ntial are	eas with	little to
Describe the level of buildout in the jurisdiction, based on your jurisdiction's buildable lands inventory. If no such inventory exists, provide a qualitative description.	Warden has buildabl and commercial.	e land within	the city	limits fo	or reside	ential

1.4 Capability Assessment

This section describes an assessment of existing capabilities for implementing hazard mitigation strategies. The introduction at the beginning of this volume of the hazard mitigation plan describes the components included in the capability assessment and their significance for hazard mitigation planning.

Findings of the capability assessment were reviewed to identify opportunities to expand, initiate or integrate capabilities to further hazard mitigation goals and objectives. Where such opportunities were identified and determined to be feasible, they are included in the action plan. The "Analysis of Mitigation Actions" table in this annex identifies these as community capacity building mitigation actions. The findings of the assessment are presented as follows:

- An assessment of planning and regulatory capabilities is presented in Table 0-3.
- Development and permitting capabilities are presented in Table 0-4.
- An assessment of fiscal capabilities is presented in Table 0-5.
- An assessment of administrative and technical capabilities is presented in Table 0-6.
- An assessment of education and outreach capabilities is presented in Table 0-7.
- Information on National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) compliance is presented in Table 0-8.
- Classifications under various community mitigation programs are presented in Table 0-9.
- The community's adaptive capacity for the impacts of climate change is presented in Table 0-10.

Table 0-3. Planning and Regulatory Capability

			Other				
		Local	Jurisdiction	State	Integration		
		Authority	Authority	Mandated	Opportunity?		
Codes, Ordina	ances, and Requirements						
Building Code		Yes	Yes	Yes	No		
	International Building Code, Ord 775						
Zoning Code		Yes	Yes	Yes	No		
Comment:	Ordinance 809						
Subdivisions		Yes	No	Yes	No		
Comment:	Warden Municipal Code, Ord 476						
Stormwater N	Nanagement	No	No	No	No		
Comment:							
Post-Disaster	Recovery	No	No	No	Yes		
Comment:							
Real Estate Di	sclosure	No	No	No	No		
Comment:							
Growth Mana	gement	Yes	Yes	Yes	No		
Comment:	The State of Washington 36.70A.060 man developmental regulations. Adopted 1999	-		er 36.70A.050	adopt		
Site Plan Revi	ew	Yes	No	No	No		
Comment:	Ordinance 690						
Environmenta	al Protection	Yes	Yes	Yes	No		
<i>Comment:</i> State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) to be used in conjunction with the Warden Municipal Code.							
Flood Damage	e Prevention	Yes	Yes	Yes	No		
Comment:	Ordinance 688						
Emergency M	anagement	Yes	Yes	Yes	No		
Comment:	Working jointly with Grant County Emerge	ency Managemer	nt				
Climate Chang	ge	No	No	No	No		
Comment:							
Other		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Comment:							
Planning Docu	uments						
General Plan		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Is the plan co	mpliant with Assembly Bill 2140? Yes						
Comment:	See "Growth Management"						
Capital Impro	vement Plan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
How often is t	the plan updated? 20 year plan with pe	riodic updates as	needed.				
Comment:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Disaster Debr	is Management Plan	No	No	No	No		
Comment:							
Floodplain or	Watershed Plan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Comment:	Participate NFIP						
	·						

	Local Authority	Other Jurisdiction Authority	State Mandated	Integration Opportunity?
Stormwater Plan	No	/No	No	No
Comment:				
Urban Water Management Plan	No	No	No	No
Comment:				
Habitat Conservation Plan	No	No	No	No
Comment:				
Economic Development Plan	No	No	No	No
Comment: Warden participates in the Grant County Eco	nomic Develo _l	oment Council, th	ere is no plan.	
Shoreline Management Plan	No	No	No	No
Comment:				
Community Wildfire Protection Plan	No	No	No	No
Comment:				
Forest Management Plan	No	No	No	No
Comment:				
Climate Action Plan	No	No	No	No
Comment:				
Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan	Yes	Yes	No	No
Comment: Grant County Emergency Management Plan	Adopted			
Threat and Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment (THIRA)	No	No	No	No
Comment:				
Post-Disaster Recovery Plan	No	No	No	Yes
Comment:				
Continuity of Operations Plan	No	No	No	No
Comment:				
Public Health Plan	Yes	Yes	No	No
Comment: MOU Grant County Health District				
Other	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Comment:				

Table 0-4. Development and Permitting Capability

Criterion	Response
Does your jurisdiction issue development permits? If no, who does? If yes, which department? Planning	Yes
Does your jurisdiction have the ability to track permits by hazard area?	No
Does your jurisdiction have a buildable lands inventory?	No

Table 0-5. Fiscal Capability

Financial Resource	Accessible or Eligible to Use?
Community Development Block Grants	Yes
Capital Improvements Project Funding	Yes
Authority to Levy Taxes for Specific Purposes	Yes
User Fees for Water, Sewer, Gas, or Electric Service	Yes
If yes, specify: Water, Sewer, Sanitation	
Incur Debt through General Obligation Bonds	Yes
Incur Debt through Special Tax Bonds	Yes
Incur Debt through Private Activity Bonds	Yes
Withhold Public Expenditures in Hazard-Prone Areas	Yes
State-Sponsored Grant Programs	Yes
Development Impact Fees for Homebuyers or Developers	Yes
Other	N/A
If yes, specify:	

Table 0-6. Administrative and Technical Capability

Staff/Personnel Resource		Available?
Planners or engineers with kn	owledge of land development and land management practices	Yes
If Yes, Department/Position:	Contracted Consultant	
Engineers or professionals tra	ined in building or infrastructure construction practices	Yes
If Yes, Department/Position:	Contracted Consultant	
Planners or engineers with an	understanding of natural hazards	Yes
If Yes, Department/Position:	Contracted Consultant	
Staff with training in benefit/o	cost analysis	No
If Yes, Department/Position:		
Surveyors		Yes
If Yes, Department/Position:	Contracted Consultant	
Personnel skilled or trained in	GIS applications	Yes
If Yes, Department/Position:	Contracted Consultant	
Scientist familiar with natural	hazards in local area	No
If Yes, Department/Position:		
Emergency manager		Yes
If Yes, Department/Position:	City Administrator	
Grant writers		Yes
If Yes, Department/Position:	Contracted Consultant	
Other		N/A
If Yes, Department/Position:		

Table 0-7. Education and Outreach Capability

Criterion	Response
Do you have a public information officer or communications office? Coordinate with GCEM	No
Do you have personnel skilled or trained in website development?	No
Do you have hazard mitigation information available on your website?	No

Criterion	Response
If yes, briefly describe:	
Do you use social media for hazard mitigation education and outreach? If yes, briefly describe:	No
Do you have any citizen boards or commissions that address issues related to hazard mitigation? If yes, briefly describe:	No
Do you have any other programs in place that could be used to communicate hazard-related information?	Yes
If yes, briefly describe: Coordinate with Grant County Emergency Management	
Do you have any established warning systems for hazard events? If yes, briefly describe:	No

Table 0-8. National Flood Insurance Program Compliance

Criterion	Response
What local department is responsible for floodplain management?	Administration
Who is your floodplain administrator? (department/position)	City Administrator
Are any certified floodplain managers on staff in your jurisdiction?	No
What is the date that your flood damage prevention ordinance was last amended?	Nov. 10, 2009
Does your floodplain management program meet or exceed minimum requirements?	Meets
If exceeds, in what ways?	
When was the most recent Community Assistance Visit or Community Assistance Contact?	N/A
Does your jurisdiction have any outstanding NFIP compliance violations that need to be addressed? If so, state what they are.	No
Are any RiskMAP projects currently underway in your jurisdiction?	No
If so, state what they are.	
Do your flood hazard maps adequately address the flood risk within your jurisdiction?	Yes
If no, state why.	
Does your floodplain management staff need any assistance or training to support its floodplain management program? If so, what type of assistance/training is NFIP basics needed?	
Does your jurisdiction participate in the Community Rating System (CRS)?	No
If yes, is your jurisdiction interested in improving its CRS No Classification?	
If no, is your jurisdiction interested in joining the CRS program? No	
How many flood insurance policies are in force in your jurisdiction? ^a What is the insurance in force? National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) for Grant Co What is the premium in force? \$N/A	-0- unty
How many total loss claims have been filed in your jurisdiction? ^a How many claims are still open or were closed without payment? None What were the total payments for losses? \$ -0-	-0-
a. According to FEMA statistics as of 2022	

Table 0-9. Community Classifications

	Participating?	Classification	Date Classified
FIPS Code	Yes	76160	
DUNS #	Yes	962118840	Unknown
Community Rating System	No	N/A	N/A
Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule	No	N/A	N/A
Public Protection	No	N/A	N/A
Storm Ready	No	N/A	N/A
Firewise	No	N/A	N/A

Table 0-10. Adaptive Capacity for Climate Change

Criterion	Jurisdiction Rating
echnical Capacity	
urisdiction-level understanding of potential climate change impacts	Low
Comment: Enter Comment	
urisdiction-level monitoring of climate change impacts	Low
Comment: Enter Comment	
echnical resources to assess proposed strategies for feasibility and externalities	Low
Comment: Enter Comment	
urisdiction-level capacity for development of greenhouse gas emissions inventory	Low
Comment: Enter Comment	
Capital planning and land use decisions informed by potential climate impacts	Low
Comment: Enter Comment	
Participation in regional groups addressing climate risks	Low
Comment: Enter Comment	
mplementation Capacity	
Clear authority/mandate to consider climate change impacts during public decision-making	Low
processes	
Comment:	
dentified strategies for greenhouse gas mitigation efforts	Low
Comment:	
dentified strategies for adaptation to impacts	Low
Comment:	
champions for climate action in local government departments	Low
Comment:	
Political support for implementing climate change adaptation strategies	Low
Comment:	
inancial resources devoted to climate change adaptation	Low
Comment:	
ocal authority over sectors likely to be negatively impacted	Unknown
Comment:	
ublic Capacity	
ocal residents' knowledge of and understanding of climate risk	Low
Comment:	
ocal residents' support of adaptation efforts	Unsure
Comment:	
ocal residents' capacity to adapt to climate impacts	Unsure
Comment:	
ocal economy's current capacity to adapt to climate impacts	Low
Comment:	
ocal ecosystem's capacity to adapt to climate impacts	Low
`omment:	

a. High = Capacity exists and is in use; Medium = Capacity may exist, but is not used or could use some improvement; Low = Capacity does not exist or could use substantial improvement; Unsure = Not enough information is known to assign a rating.

1.5 Integration Review

For hazard mitigation planning, "integration" means that hazard mitigation information is used in other relevant planning mechanisms, such as general planning and capital facilities planning, and that relevant information from those sources is used in hazard mitigation. This section identifies where such integration is already in place, and where there are opportunities for further integration in the future. Resources listed at the end of this annex were used to provide information on integration. The progress reporting process described in Volume 1 of the hazard mitigation plan will document the progress of hazard mitigation actions related to integration and identify new opportunities for integration.

1.5.1 Existing Integration

Some level of integration has already been established between local hazard mitigation planning and the following other local plans and programs:

- **Building Code** The City currently enforces and follows codes amended by the Washington State Building Code Council.
- **Zoning Code** The City's Zoning Code promotes the health and safety of the population of the City and the land resources while ensuring future growth follows the guidelines by consistency, comprehensive and careful planning.
- **Comprehensive Plan** The Comprehensive Plan includes how to protect and minimize hazards in the community including fire, flood, hazardous materials, and geologic and seismic hazards.

1.5.2 Opportunities for Future Integration

The capability assessment presented in this annex indicates opportunities to integrate this mitigation plan with other jurisdictional planning/regulatory capabilities. Capabilities were identified as integration opportunities if they can support or enhance the actions identified in this plan or be supported or enhanced by components of this plan. The capability assessment identified the following plans and programs that do not currently integrate hazard mitigation information but provide opportunities to do so in the future:

- Post Disaster Recovery Plan The City does not have a recovery plan but would benefit from developing one as a mitigation planning action.
- **Capital Improvement Projects** CIP proposals may take into consideration hazard mitigation potential as a means of evaluating project prioritization.

Taking action to integrate each of these programs with the hazard mitigation plan was considered as a mitigation action to include in the action plan presented in this annex.

1.6 Risk Assessment

1.6.1 Jurisdiction-Specific Natural Hazard Event History

Table 0-11 lists past occurrences of natural hazards for which specific damage was recorded in this jurisdiction. Other hazard events that broadly affected the entire planning area, including this jurisdiction, are listed in the risk assessments in Volume 1 of this hazard mitigation plan.

Type of Event	FEMA Disaster #	Date	Damage Assessment
Flood	70	March 1957	Not Available
Flood	146	March 1963	Not Available
Drought	3037	March 1977	Damage to farmland crops.
Volcano	623 May 1980		The City was covered in inches of ash. The Clean-Up took several months with business, schools and the community suffering.
lce, Wind, Snow, Landslide, Flood	1159	December 1996- February 1997	Not Available
Severe Winter Storm, Wind, Landslide, Mudslide	1682	December 2006	Power outages, Vehicle Accidents
Severe Winter Storms, Flooding, Landslides, Mudslides	4309	January 2017	Power outages, roadway heaving
COVID 19	3427	January 2020-present	Ongoing
COVID 19 Pandemic	4481	January 2020- present	Ongoing

Table 0-11. Past Natural Hazard Events

1.6.2 Hazard Risk Ranking

Table 0-12 presents a local ranking of all hazards of concern for which this hazard mitigation plan provides complete risk assessments. As described in detail in Volume 1, the ranking process involves an assessment of the likelihood of occurrence for each hazard, along with its potential impacts on people, property and the economy. Mitigation actions target hazards with high and medium rankings.

Table 0-12. Hazard Risk Ranking

Rank	Hazard	Risk Ranking Score	Risk Category
1	Wildfire	36	High
2	Earthquake	34	High
3	Severe Storms	24	Medium
4	Flooding	18	Medium
5	Drought	9	Low
6	Landslide	0	Low
7	Dam Failure	0	Low
8	Volcano	0	Low

1.6.3 Jurisdiction-Specific Vulnerabilities

Volume 1 of this hazard mitigation plan provides complete risk assessments for each identified hazard of concern. This section provides information on a few key vulnerabilities for this jurisdiction. Available jurisdiction-specific risk maps of the hazards are provided at the end of this annex.

REPETITIVE LOSS PROPERTIES

Repetitive loss records are as follows:

- Number of FEMA-identified Repetitive-Loss Properties: 0
- Number of FEMA-identified Severe-Repetitive-Loss Properties: 0
- Number of Repetitive-Loss Properties or Severe-Repetitive-Loss Properties that have been mitigated: 0

OTHER NOTED VULNERABILITIES

The following jurisdiction-specific issues have been identified based on a review of the results of the risk assessment, public involvement strategy, and other available resources:

- Water Reservoirs on the highest point of community. These reservoirs were built prior to Seismic Regulation. Mitigation would be a Seismic Retrofit.
- Community Wide concern for wildfires.

Mitigation actions addressing these issues were prioritized for consideration in the action plan presented in this annex.

1.7 Status of Previous Plan Actions

Table 0-13 summarizes the actions that were recommended in the previous version of the hazard mitigation plan and their implementation status at the time this update was prepared.

Table 0-13. Status of Previous Plan Actions

		Removed;		ed Over to Update
		U		Action # in
Action Item from Previous Plan	Completed	Feasible	if Yes	Update
Initiative #W-MH1 – City of Warden				Х
Comment: Back-up power generator for Well #6				

1.8 Hazard Mitigation Action Plan

Table 0-14 lists the identified actions, which make up the hazard mitigation action plan for this jurisdiction. Table 0-15 identifies the priority for each action. Table 0-16 summarizes the mitigation actions by hazard of concern and mitigation type.

		able 0-14. Haz	ard wiitigation Ac		uix	
Benefits New or Existing Assets	Objectives Met	Lead Agency	Support Agency	Estimated Cost	Sources of Funding	Timeline ^a
					structures located in h n- or medium-risk haza	
Hazards Mitigated:	All					
Existing	1-8	City of Warden	Administration, Finance	High	HMGP, BRIC, FMA	Short-term
Action WA-2— plan.	Actively participate	e in the plan ma	aintenance protocols	s outlined in V	olume 1 of this hazard	mitigation
Hazards Mitigated:	All					
New and Existing	1-8	City of Warden	City Administrator	Low	Staff Time, General Funds	Short-term
• Provide Hazards Mitigated:	public assistance/i All	nformation on	floodplain requirem	ents and impa	cts.	
New and Existing	4-7	City of Warden	Planning and Development	Low	Staff Time, General Funds	Ongoing
Action WA-4—	•		•		k adequate backup po	wer,
Existing	1-8	City of Warden	City Administrator, Finance		PWB, CDBG, FMA	Short Term
	Integrate the hazar community, inclue			ordinances an	d programs that dicta	te land use
Hazards Mitigated:	2,4,6	- '				
New and Existing	2	City of Warden	City Administrator/	Low	Staff Time, General Funds	Ongoing

Table 0-14. Hazard Mitigation Action Plan Matrix

City Public Works
a. Short-term = Completion within 5 years; Long-term = Completion within 10 years; Ongoing = Continuing new
or existing program with no completion date
Acronyms used here are defined at the beginning of this volume.

Action #	# of Objectives Met	Benefits	Costs	Do Benefits Equal or Exceed Cost?	Is Project Grant- Eligible?	Can Project Be Funded Under Existing Programs/ Budgets?	Implementation Priority ^a	Grant Pursuit Priority ^a
1	4	High	High	Yes	Yes	No	Medium	High
2	7	Medium	Low	Yes	No	Yes	High	Low
3	3	Low	Low	Yes	No	Yes	High	Low
4	6	High	Medium	Yes	Yes	Yes	High	High
5	7	Medium	Low	Yes	No	Yes	High	Medium

Table 0-15. Mitigation Action Priority

a. See the introduction to this volume for explanation of priorities.

Table 0-16. Analy	sis of Mitigation	Actions
-------------------	-------------------	---------

		Property	Action Add Public Education and	Natural Resource	d, by Mitigati Emergency	ion Type ^a Structural	Climate	Community Capacity
Hazard Type	Prevention	Protection	Awareness	Protection	Services	Projects	Resilience	Building
High-Risk Hazar	ds							
Wildfire	WA -2,5	WA-2,5	WA-2	WA-1	WA-4	WA-1	WA-5	WA-2,3,4
Earthquake	WA-1,2,5	WA-1,2,4,5	WA-2	WA-2,5	WA-4	WA-1	WA-1,5	WA-1,2,4
Medium-Risk H	azards							
Severe Storms	WA-1,3,4,5	WA- 1,2,3,4,5	WA-2	WA-1,2,5	WA-4	WA-1	WA-5	WA-1,4
Flooding	WA- 1,2,3,4,5	WA- 1,2,3,4,5	WA-2	WA-1,2	WA-4	WA-1	WA-5	WA-1,2,3,4
Low-Risk Hazar	ds							
Drought	WA-1,2,6	WA-1,2,5	WA-2	WA-1	WA-4	WA-1	WA-5	WA-4
Landslide	WA-1,2,3	WA-1,2,3,5	WA-2	WA-1	WA-4	WA-1	WA-5	WA-1,2,3,4
Dam Failure	WA-1,2		WA-2	WA-1	WA-4	WA-1	WA-5	WA-4
Volcano	WA-1,2,5	WA-1,2,4	WA-2	WA-1	WA-4	WA-1	WA-5	WA-1,2,4
a. See the introduction to this volume for explanation of mitigation types.								

1.9 Public Outreach

Table 0-17 lists public outreach activities for this jurisdiction.

Table 0-17. Local Public Outreach

Local Outreach Activity	Date	Number of People Involved

1.10 Information Sources Used for This Annex

The following technical reports, plans, and regulatory mechanisms were reviewed to provide information for this annex.

- **City of Warden Municipal Code**—The municipal code was reviewed for the full capability assessment and for identifying opportunities for action plan integration.
- **City of Warden Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance**—The flood damage prevention ordinance was reviewed for compliance with the National Flood Insurance Program.
- City of Warden Comprehensive Plan The comprehensive plan was reviewed for planning documentation

The following outside resources and references were reviewed:

• Hazard Mitigation Plan Annex Development Toolkit—The toolkit was used to support the identification of past hazard events and noted vulnerabilities, the risk ranking, and the development of the mitigation action plan.











